Single-Incision Laparoscopic Sterilization of the Cheetah (Acinonyx jubatus)
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Objective: To describe laparoscopic ovariectomy and salpingectomy in the cheetah (Acinonyx jubatus) using single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS).

Study Design: Prospective cohort.

Animals: Female cheetahs (Acinonyx jubatus) (n = 21).

Methods: Cheetahs were randomly divided to receive either ovariectomy (n = 11) or salpingectomy (n = 10). The use and complications of a SILS port was evaluated in all of cheetahs. Surgery duration and insufflation volumes of carbon dioxide (CO2) were recorded and compared across procedures.

Results: Laparoscopic ovariectomy and salpingectomy were performed without complications using a SILS port. The poorly-developed mesosalpinx and ovarian bursa facilitated access to the uterine tube for salpingectomy in the cheetah. The median surgery duration for ovariectomy was 24 minutes (interquartile range 3) and for salpingectomy was 19.5 minutes (interquartile range 3) (P = .005). The median volume of CO2 used for ovariectomy was 11.25 L (interquartile range 3.08) and for salpingectomy was 4.90 (interquartile range 2.52), (P = .001)

Conclusions: Laparoscopic ovariectomy and salpingectomy can be performed in the cheetah using SILS without perioperative complications. Salpingectomy is faster than ovariectomy and requires less total CO2 for insufflation.

There are an estimated 1,600 captive cheetahs (Acinonyx jubatus) worldwide.1 Recent amendments to legislation in Namibia require permanent sterilization of captive female predators, including cheetahs.2 Various methods of population control have been used in captive cheetah populations, including separation of sexes and induction of contraception by down-regulation of LH and FSH secretion using the GnRH analogue deslorelin (Suprelorin Virbac Pty Ltd, Halfway House, South Africa).3,4 Use of deslorelin does require the cheetah to be immobilized and be implanted every 12 months for maintenance of contraception,3 and the ongoing need for repeated procedures can be prohibitive in terms of cost and management efforts. The requirement for sterilization of an endangered species does remains controversial. Ovariectomy is a safe method of sterilization in domestic animals3-8 and is easily performed via laparoscopic surgery. Other laparoscopic sterilization techniques have been reported including ovariohysterectomy, uterine horn occlusion, and laparoscopic-assisted ovariohysterectomy.9-13 Laparoscopic ovariectomy has been performed in domestic cats using laser and bipolar electrocoagulation,14 and in 1 cheetah after removal of an abdominal foreign body.15 Laparoscopic ovariectomy, salpingectomy, ovariohysterectomy, and hysterectomy using multiple ports have been reported in the lion.16-18 and ovariectomy using single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) has been performed in 2 tigers.19 Laparoscopic sterilization of the cheetah has not been reported.

In countries where permanent sterilization of wild carnivores in captivity is legislated, the use of laparoscopic salpingectomy in a mobile theater could provide a fast and uncomplicated procedure compared to ovariectomy, ovariohysterectomy, or hysterectomy provided that the effects on uterine health are determined to be comparable to other methods of population control. The association of salpingectomy with later uterine pathology in domestic animals or large felids is currently undefined, while in women, salpingectomy does not appear to have any significant adverse effects on uterine health.20 The effect of both ovariectomy and salpingectomy on the social behavior in wild African carnivores has not been reported.

Laparoscopic ovariectomy requires either 1, 2, or 3 cannulas in dogs13,21-24 and the lion.18 The utilization of a
SILS port has been described for ovariectomy and other abdominal procedures in dogs, and its effective re-sterilization and re-use has been reported. Cited advantages include access to the abdominal cavity without a Veress needle and the ability to place 3 different ports through a single incision.

The aim of this study was to investigate the use and potential complications of SILS associated with ovariectomy and salpingectomy in cheetahs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty-one cheetahs from 2 research conservation centers in northern Namibia (facilities A and B) were included. The study was approved by the Animal Use and Care and Research Committees of the Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria (protocol number v014-14). The study was performed under the permit 1919/2014 of the Namibian Ministry of Environment and Tourism.

Cheetahs were randomized to receive either ovariectomy or salpingectomy according to a random number table. Age was obtained from the animal’s record and body weight was obtained after anesthetic induction. Packed cell volume and urine specific gravity were measured to confirm adequate hydration and assess kidney function. Body fat distribution was assessed macroscopically during surgery. The total volume of CO₂ used for insufflation was recorded. The number of applications of the vessel-sealing device required to complete surgeries and the ability to perform both procedures with regular instruments as opposed to curved and angulated instruments were noted. Any extension of the SILS portal incision for removal of ovaries was recorded. Cheetahs with ovaries that had paraovarian cysts were noted. Intraoperative complications were recorded and the reproductive organs were observed for signs of involuntary contraction.

Anesthesia

Free access to water was allowed, but food was withheld for approximately 12 hours before surgery. Immobilization was achieved with tiletamine and zolazepam (Zoletil®, 100 mg/mL, Virbac, Halfway House, South Africa) at 1.2 mg/kg and medetomidine (Domitor®, 1 mg/mL Pfizer Animal Health, Sandton, South Africa) at 0.03 mg/kg intramuscular (IM). At facility A, the drug combinations were delivered by a remote projection system using 3 mL darts with 30 mm collared side-port needles (DanInject, Denmark). At facility B, the cheetahs were trained to enter a squeeze cage in which they could be injected by hand. Once immobilized, the cheetah was intubated and anesthesia maintained with isoflurane in oxygen via a circle rebreathing circuit. During abdominal insufflation, positive pressure ventilation was applied with a mechanical ventilator (Endoflator, Karl Storz GMBH & Co. KG, Tuttingen, Germany). Lactated Ringer’s solution at 10 mL/kg/hour was administered intravenously (IV) until extubation. Morphine at 0.2 mg/kg subcutaneously (SC) was administered immediately after intubation for intraoperative analgesia and 1.5 mL of ropivacaine (Naropin®, 7.5 mg/mL, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Sunninghill Johannesburg, South Africa) was injected SC at the surgical incision site prior to incision. Postoperative analgesia was provided with meloxicam (Metacam®, 5 mg/mL, Boehringer Ingelheim, Pine Avenue, Randburg, South Africa) at 0.3 mg/kg SC prior to anesthetic recovery.

The surgical site was clipped and the cheetah was positioned in dorsal recumbency in an endoscopic positioner (Apexx TT, Englewood, CO) for abdominal ultrasound to assess its reproductive status.

Surgical Procedure

The ventral abdomen was prepared for aseptic surgery and a sterile, transparent, plastic adhesive surgical drape (Steridrape®) was applied to skin surface. Surgery was performed by 2 surgeons (E.M. and M.H.). A 2.5 cm skin incision was made immediately caudal to the umbilicus after infiltration of local anesthetic. The linea alba was exposed and 2 horizontal stay sutures were placed on either side of the linea alba to facilitate placement of the SILS port (SILSTM Port, Covidien™). A 2 cm incision was made in the linea alba and the SILS port was introduced through the incision with curved Spencer-Wells forceps. Two 5 mm cannulas and a 5–12 mm cannula were placed in the SILS port. The abdominal cavity was insufflated with CO₂ to a pressure of 13 mmHg using an automatic insufflator (Endoflator, Karl Storz GMBH & Co. KG).

A 5 mm 0° endoscope (HOPKINS Optik, Karl Storz GMBH & Co. KG) was inserted and the abdominal cavity was inspected.

Ovariectomy. With the cheetah tilted 45° in a left oblique position, the rigid endoscope was inserted and the suspensory ligament was identified. A 5 mmatraumatic grasping forceps (Click Line BABCOCK Grasping Forceps, 5 mm, 45 cm, Karl Storz GMBH & Co. KG) was inserted to grasp the proper ligament (Fig 1A). The ovary was elevated ventrally, approximately half way to the abdominal wall, and a 10 mm vessel sealing device (Atlas, LigaSureTM ValleylabTM Covidien, Manfield, MA, USA) was inserted through the 5–12 mm portal. The ovarian artery and vein were sealed and transected (Fig 1B) followed by the suspensory ligament (Fig 1C) and uterine horn, close to the proper ligament, which freed the entire ovary (Fig 1D). The tip of the uterine horn and ovarian pedicle were then inspected for hemorrhage. After removal of the rigid endoscope and the vessel sealing device, the SILS port was removed and the ovary was retrieved from the abdominal cavity together with the port. The cheetah was tilted back to the neutral position, the SILS port was replaced, and insufflation to 13 mm Hg was re-established. The cheetah was then tilted 45° to the right oblique position and the left ovariectomy was performed as described above.

Salpingectomy. The cheetah was positioned as for ovariectomy and the rigid endoscope, the 5 mm Babcock forceps, and the 10 mm vessel sealing device were inserted through the SILS port. The left ovary and uterine tube were...
visualized. In some instances it was necessary to rotate the ovary in order to access the uterine tube (oviduct or fallopian tube), which was then grasped with the Babcock forceps and elevated slightly (Fig 2A). Using the 10 mm vessel sealing device, the uterine tube and mesosalpinx at the uterine end of the tube were sealed and transected to perform a distal salpingectomy (Fig 2B). Care was taken to coagulate and cut to the level of the mesovarial margin of the ovary (Video clip 1). The mesosalpinx and severed ends of the uterine tube were observed for hemorrhage and to verify complete transection (Fig 2C). The cheetah was then tilted 45° into the right oblique position and left salpingectomy was performed as described above.

Once bilateral ovariectomy or salpingectomy was complete, the cheetah was tilted back to the neutral position, the SILS port was removed, and the abdominal cavity deflated. The external rectus fascia was sutured with 0 polydioxanone (PDS Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson Medical Pty Ltd) using three cruciate sutures. The subcutaneous tissue was closed with 3/0 poliglecaprone 25 (Monocryl Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson Medical (Pty) Ltd) in a simple continuous pattern and the skin closed with 4/0 poliglecaprone 25 in an intradermal pattern. The surgery duration, the volume of CO₂ required to initially achieve 13 mmHg, and total volume of CO₂ used for each procedure were recorded.

The cheetah was weaned off the ventilator and placed in a transporting crate, extubated, and allowed to recover under constant supervision of the anesthetic team and care takers. The cheetah was monitored intensively during the first 24 hours for any abnormalities in appetite or habitus and for wound dehiscence or hemorrhage. The cheetahs were also frequently observed during the following months.

Re-used SILS ports were disassembled and chemically sterilized for 20 minutes using ortho-phthalaldehyde (Ci-dex<sup>®</sup> OPA, Johnson & Johnson Medical Pty Ltd), after which they were rinsed in sterile water and dried with a sterile drape.

**Statistical Analysis**

The Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) test was used to test body weight, age, urine specific gravity, packed cell volume, surgery
duration and CO₂ volume for normality. Normal data were summarized as mean and standard deviation and non-normal data as median and interquartile range (IQR). Data for cheetahs receiving ovariec-tomy versus salpingectomy were compared using an independent Student’s t-test for normal data including body weight \((P = .200, \text{KS})\), urine specific gravity \((P = .119, \text{KS})\), and packed cell volume \((P = .200, \text{KS})\). Levene’s test for equal variances was used. Data were compared using independent samples median test for non-normal data including age \((P = .034, \text{KS})\), surgery duration \((P = .005)\), volume of CO₂ to 13 mmHg \((P = .001)\), and total volume of CO₂ \((P = .003)\). All analyses were performed with SPSS v.17 (IBM, Armonk, NY) statistical software. Significance was set at \(P < .05\).

**RESULTS**

No individuals were excluded from the study due to pregnancy, uterine pathology noted on ultrasound examination, morbid obesity, body condition score of <2, or evidence of renal insufficiency (urine specific gravity between 1.008 and 1.015). Data from 1 cheetah that underwent ovariec-tomy were excluded from the statistical analysis due to surgical complications unrelated to the procedure (an encapsulated foreign body in the abdominal cavity), leaving a total of 20 cheetahs \((n = 10\) for each procedure) in the study.

Age was not significantly different between cheetahs receiving ovariec-tomy (median 11.5 years, IQR 5.3) versus salpingectomy (median 11.5 years, IQR 4.9) \((P = 1.0)\). The body weight was not significantly different between cheetahs receiving ovariec-tomy (mean ± SD, 32.6 ± 2.0 kg) and salpingectomy \((35.8 ± 3.6 \text{ kg})\ (P = .571)\). The mean ± SD urine specific gravity for the entire cohort was 1.05 ± 0.008 and packed cell volume was 46 ± 3 L/L.

The fat content in the subcutis, falciform, and median urinary bladder ligament and ovarian pedicle was minimal. Two applications of the vessel sealing device were required to successfully coagulate and transect all uterine tubes and uterine horns. All procedures were successfully completed.
through the SILS port using straight instruments. The endoscope with the light post at the top was placed in the 5 mm cannula at the 12 o’clock position in the SILS port, the grasping forceps in the 5 mm cannula at 8 o’clock and the vessel sealing device in the 5–12 mm cannula at 4 o’clock. Half of the SILS ports were re-used. Specific placement of the laparoscopic instruments facilitated the procedure. None of the cheetahs required enlargement of the portal incision to retrieve the ovaries. Paraovarian cysts were identified in 24 of 42 ovaries at laparoscopy. The linea alba was clearly identified and incised in half the cheetahs and a paramedian incision was performed in the other half. No intraoperative complications occurred and none of the animals developed subcutaneous emphysema during or immediately after surgery. Contraction of the suspensory ligament and mesosalpinx was observed in some cheetahs.

An intra-abdominal mass was identified by transabdominal ultrasound preoperatively in 1 cheetah and fine needle aspiration of the mass showed moderate numbers of neutrophils, macrophages, and a mixed population of bacteria. Ovariectomy was performed after laparoscopic removal of the mass. The mass was located within the omentum and was not attached to any abdominal organ. The vessel sealing device was used to free the mass from the omentum and a retrieval bag was used to remove the excised mass. The mass was approximately 6 cm in diameter and required extension of the portal incision for retrieval. A thorn foreign body was subsequently identified in the center of the mass. This cheetah was excluded from data analysis in the study.

The surgery duration for ovariectomy (median 24 minutes, IQR 3) was significantly longer than for salpingectomy (median 19.5 minutes, IQR 3) \((P = .005)\). The volume of CO2 used to insufflate the peritoneal cavity to 13 mmHg was not significantly different for cheetahs receiving ovariectomy (median 3.25 L, IQR 0.45) or salpingectomy (median 3.25 L, IQR 0.52) \((P = 1.0)\). The total volume of CO2 required was significantly higher for cheetahs receiving ovariectomy (median 11.25 L, IQR 3.08) than salpingectomy (median 4.90 L, IQR 2.52) \((P = .001)\). Note, this included data from 1 cheetah that had some CO2 valve leakage throughout salpingectomy.

All cheetahs returned to normal behavior and appetite within 18 hours of surgery. One cheetah self-mutilated its tail and a leg in the recovery period but stopped once it was fully recovered. One cheetah developed visible signs of mild inflammation around the portal incision that resolved within 24 hours. At facility B, none of the cheetahs developed incisional hernias. The frequency of incisional hernias at facility A was unknown as the cheetahs were not tame; however, no incidents were reported by the caretakers. No surgically related complications were reported for any cheetah 3 months after surgery.

**DISCUSSION**

Laparoscopic ovariectomy and salpingectomy can be performed in cheetahs using a SILS port without intraoperative complications. The lean physiology of cheetahs provides optimal conditions for laparoscopic surgery. Most cheetahs had minimal subcutaneous and intra-abdominal fat in the median and falciform ligaments compared to that encountered in the adult lioness, but the fat distribution was similar to sub-adults lions or cubs. Similar to the lion, minimal fat was present in the ovarian pedicle and it did not vary markedly between individuals as is reported in dogs. The uterine horns were small and coagulation of the proper ligament and tip of the uterine horns was relatively easy, requiring only 2 applications of the vessel sealing device. In lions, more applications were required. Distal salpingectomy was performed similar to that in women. This was done with 2 applications of the vessel sealing device comparable to findings in the lion. Similar to the lion, neither the Trendelenburg position nor a true lateral position were required to access ovaries in the cheetahs although some manipulation of the spleen was required to access the left ovary.

A SILS port was used to reduce the number of incisions and portals, which can reduce surgery duration and limit the risk of inadvertent trauma to visceral organs. It must be noted that the risk of herniation, however, might be higher for a 2 cm incision compared to 2 or 3 smaller incisions used for a multi-port technique. Three ports were necessary for salpingectomy. The SILS port eliminated the need for transcutaneous suspension of the ovary for ovariectomy since 1 port could be used for grasping forceps, which simplifies the approach.

Ovariectomy with laparoscopy has been described with 1-, 2-, and 3-port techniques in dogs and cats, and a 3-port technique that allows for triangulation has been previously described in lions. The 2-port technique with percutaneous transfixation of the ovary appeared to provide the best compromise between surgery duration and pain after surgery. However, it required a transcutaneous suspension of the ovary, impossible for the salpingectomy procedure. The 1-port technique could not be used as a 10 mm vessel sealing device was a prerequisite for sealing the uterine horn and similarly, salpingectomy would also not have been possible.

Curved or articulated instruments have been designed for the SILS port to prevent crowding of the instrumentation. In this study we used straight instruments, placed and positioned as described earlier, with minimal interference encountered between instrument handles or tips. In all cheetahs, access to the ovaries was easily achieved with 36 cm instruments as is the experience in lions. The SILS ports may be re-used; however, damage to the valve in the cannulas can result in CO2 leakage.

The SILS port required a 2 cm incision on ventral midline incision. Removal of the SILS after each ovariectomy facilitated the retrieval of each ovary. It was unnecessary to enlarge the portal incision as is the case with large ovaries. Since the portal incision was not enlarged for retrieval of the 1st ovary, a good seal was still achieved with replacement of the SILS port for the 2nd ovariectomy. In a previous study on lions, ovaries could be retrieved through the 12 mm cannula in sub-adult lionesses but the 12 mm cannula had to be removed with the ovaries in adult lions. In the current study,
retrieval of the ovaries in some cheetahs would have been possible via the 5–12 mm cannula of the SILS port. However, the prevalence of paraovarian cysts in more than half the cheetahs in this study was much higher compared to that in lions, and the tissue bulk or the risk of the cysts bursting made removal of the ovary through the cannula less favorable, thus the SILS port was removed for the retrieval of all ovaries.

No external signs of gross uterine pathology were observed in any cheetahs in this cohort of older, barren, nulliparous cheetahs that had not been bred due to legislative restrictions. In wild canids captive in North American zoos, the number of years the animal has been barren has been implied to be associated with the occurrence of uterine pathology and it was thus interesting not to observe any pathology in the current study.

No intra-operative complications were encountered during either procedure. Identification of the linea alba was not always possible compared to the lioness, where it was constantly found, necessitating a paramedian approach in some cheetahs. The SILS was placed successfully with a tight seal in every cheetah and no obvious CO2 leakage (except for 1 valve leakage) or subcutaneous emphysema was encountered during or after surgery. The vessel sealing device achieved excellent hemothasis in all cheetahs. None of the uterine horns failed to seal with the vessel sealing device at the time of surgery. This is consistent with reports that a 10 mm vessel sealing device can safely seal a 9 mm canine uterine horn.

Physical contraction of the suspensory ligament in the cheetah was observed (Video clip 2) similar to that reported in the lioness. Contraction of the mesosalpinx was also noted in 1 cheetah. These contractions seemed to be induced by physical manipulation and prompts further investigation of the histologic composition and the functionality of the suspensory and broad ligaments.

Laparoscopic salpingectomy in the cheetah is faster than ovariecctomy using SILS. The CO2 volume required to insufflate the peritoneal cavity to a pressure of 13 mmHg was constant in all cheetahs as expected with consistent body size. However, significantly more CO2 was required to complete ovariecctomy compared to salpingectomy. Removal of the SILS port to retrieve the 1st ovary, which then required a re-insuflation of the peritoneal cavity, was the main reason for using more CO2 during ovariecctomy. Sterilization of wild felids typically occurs in remote areas and pre-determined consumable requirements assist in accurate planning of these projects.

Salpingectomy, opposed to ovariecctomy, was considered in this species to avoid the known disadvantages of gonadectomy. Bilateral salpingectomy in women is currently preferred over ovariecctomy since ovariecctomy is associated with more long-term negative effects. The potential for development of pyometra subsequent to long-term uterine exposure to sex steroids in cheetahs seems to be minimal. The advantages and disadvantages of gonadectomy in animals have to be considered prior to surgical sterilization of wild African felids, and the long-term risks of salpingectomy are not known.

We showed that laparoscopic ovariecctomy and salpingectomy was safely and successfully performed in cheetahs. The use of SILS allowed easy access to the peritoneal cavity. The poorly-developed mesosalpinx and ovarian bursa in cheetahs, similar to the lioness, facilitated access to the uterine tube for salpingectomy.
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